	Case 1:20-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Docume	ent 67 Filed 06/21/23 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DEVONTE B. HARRIS,	Case No.: 1:20-cv-00759-ADA-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING PRETRIAL CONFERENCE TO JULY 24, 2023 AT 2:00
13	v.	P.M. IN COURTROOM 1
14	ROXANNE COLEMAN,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MODIFY THE
15	Defendant.	SCHEDULING ORDER (Doc. 62)
16 17		ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR 3-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME (Doc. 64)
18		ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (Doc. 63)
19	Plaintiff Devonte B. Harris is proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
20	U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds on a single claim of retaliation in violation of the First	
21 22	Amendment against Defendant Roxanne Coleman.	
23	I. INTRODUCTION	
24	On February 16, 2023, the Court issued a second scheduling order directing Plaintiff to	
25	file his pretrial statement on or before June 12, 2023. (Doc. 58.)	
26	On June 19, 2023, Defendant filed a Motion to Modify Scheduling Order. (Doc. 62.)	
27	Defendant seeks an extension of seven days following the filing of Plaintiff's pretrial statement	
28	within which to submit her own statement. (Id. at 3.) Defendant also requests the pretrial	
	i de la companya de	

## Case 1:20-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Document 67 Filed 06/21/23 Page 2 of 3

conference be continued to a date following submission of the parties' pretrial statements that is convenient for the Court. (*Id.*)

When Plaintiff failed to file his pretrial statement by June 12, 2023, the Court issued its Order To Show Cause (OSC) Why Action Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure To Comply With Court Order And Failure To Prosecute on June 20, 2023. (Doc. 63.) Plaintiff was directed to respond within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of the OSC. (*Id.* at 2.)

Also on June 20, 2023, after issuance of the OSC, Plaintiff filed a document titled "Plaintiff's Nunc Pro Tunc Request for Extension of Time to File Pretrial Statement." (Doc. 64.) Plaintiff requests a 3-day extension to file his pretrial statement. (*Id.*) He states he "had a criminal proceeding on 6/14/23, [he] had to prepare for," making his pretrial statement "3 days late." (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff also filed his pretrial statement, concurrent with his extension request. (Doc. 65.)

## II. DISCUSSION

This matter is presently set for a pretrial conference on June 27, 2023, and a jury trial on August 29, 2023.

Pursuant to the Court's February 16, 2023, Order, Plaintiff was to file his pretrial statement no later than June 12, 2023, and Defendant was to file a pretrial statement no later than June 19, 2023. (Doc. 58 at 2.) When Plaintiff failed to file a timely pretrial statement, the Court issued an OSC and Defendant moved to modify the scheduling order. Plaintiff has since filed a nunc pro tunc request for a 3-day extension of time within which to file his pretrial statement, concurrently with his pretrial statement. The Court will grant Plaintiff's request. The Court will also modify the scheduling order, granting Defendant's motion for a 7-day extension of time within which to file her pretrial statement. Additionally, the Court will continue the pretrial conference from June 27, 2023, to July 24, 2023, to accommodate the Court's schedule. Finally, the Court will discharge the OSC as Plaintiff's written response is no longer necessary.

## III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER

For the reasons given above, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

The pretrial conference scheduled for June 27, 2023, is CONTINUED to July 24,
 2023, at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom 1;

	Case 1:20-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Document 67 Filed 06/21/23 Page 3 of 3	
1	2. Defendant's motion to modify the scheduling order (Doc. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> ;	
2	Defendant SHALL file a pretrial statement within seven (7) days of the date of	
3	service of this order;	
4	3. Plaintiff's nunc pro tunc request for a 3-day extension of time within which to file his	
5	pretrial statement (Doc. 64) is <b>GRANTED</b> ; and	
6	4. The OSC issued June 20, 2023, is <b>DISCHARGED</b> .	
7	The parties are cautioned that no further extensions of time will be entertained, nor	
8	will any request to continue the pretrial conference be considered, absent extraordinary	
9	good cause.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		
12	Dated: June 21, 2023 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13	CIVITED STATES IMAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		